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| ★★  Social Effects  ★★  These Are Tools Between People  A group’s decision rules pull its **culture** toward fair shares *or* toward winner takes all. They spread power wide and balanced, *or* narrow and lopsided. Other relations among members may follow their models.  Fair rules make **cooperation** safer, faster and easier. This favors people and groups who tend to cooperate. It may lead others to cooperate more often.  Earthball Rect_2  Politics are more **principled** and peaceful when all the rules help us find fair shares and central majorities. This might reduce political fears within our community; which helps us to be more receptive, creative and free.  So better rules can help us build better decisions, plus better **relationships**. Both can please most people. Fair rules won’t please some who get money or self-esteem from war-like politics. But countries with fair rules tend to rank higher in social trust and happiness.1 Voting is an exemplary tool between people.  © 2022, Robert Loring, [V-oting-Site@-gmail.-com](mailto:VotingSite@gmail.com) 35 |  | **Fair $hare**  **V** **oting**  **F.S.V. is a Key**  Description: Cvr50wide**to Accurate Democracy**  **for Schools, Clubs, Towns & More** | “ This is *the* site for learning about democracy.”  — Zoe Weil, author of *Most Good, Least Harm*,  president of the Institute for Humane Education.  “...a huge contribution to the democracy cause.”  — John M. Richardson Jr., former Chairman of  the National Endowment for Democracy.  “ Congratulations on a brilliant piece of work.”  Robert Fuller, former President of Oberlin College,  author of *Somebodies and Nobodies* and *All Rise*.  **Tools for democracy** **impact**  freedom, health, education and more.**1**  The best voting tools are fast, easy and fair.  They help groups from classrooms to countries.  They raise the rewards for consensus builders. so  They reduce polarizing fear, anger and gridlock.  **One tool gathers in supporters for each** **proposed** policy. Other tools give out  fair shares of seats **or** $.  so  $ $ $  $ $ $  $ $ $  **Cursor with solid fillAccurate Democracy**  **is strongly centered   yet responsive, inclusive and fair.**  **1**  **y**  **& stable centrally balanced & stable** &.  responsive and widely popular 1024,127,2026.  **1**[Get your eBook for FREE now*!*](https://accuratedemocracy.com/eBook.pdf) . [**AccurateDemocracy.com/eBook.pdf**](https://accuratedemocracy.com/eBook.pdf) |
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| [**Accurate Democracy** **eBook**](https://accuratedemocracy.com/eBook.pdf)  $ $  $  $ $  **Free**  **New**  Contents  **1**  Here are **three ways** to learn **four** voting tools that are inclusive, yet centered, quick and easy  **I. Voting Primer** tells the stories of the **four** tools  Description: Bunting1RB Tragedies, Eras and Progress of Democracy 4  1. Ranked Choice Voting elects a majority Leader 14  2. Fair Representation elects a balancedCouncil 16  3. Fair Share Voting sets optional Budgets ***New*** 22  4. Condorcet Tally enacts a balanced **Policy** **"** 28  Description: Bunting1RB Rigged votes, Gerrymanders and Gridlock 32  ★**Social Effects** of group decision tools 34  Description: Bunting1RB **Consensus** on a Policy or many Budgets 36  Description: Bunting1RB How to try a group-decision tool 38  **II. Workshop Games** let us be inside the **four** tallies  1. Leader 2. Reps 3. Budgets 4. Policy ***New!*** 39  **III. SimElection**™ **Maps** make tally patterns visible   2. Reps 3. Budgets **Council** 4. Policy ***New*** 48  **Back Matter**:Better voting aids other reforms  57  Description: Bunting1RB Tables, Endnotes and References 60  Description: Bunting1RB Glossary and Index, About Us 69  © 2022 Robert Loring, [VxotingxSite@xgmail.xcom](mailto:VotingSite@gmail.com) | 3. The principle of Fair Share Voting is:  Spending power for all,  in proportion to their votes.  So, 60% of the voters can spend 60% of the fund, not all of it. Your ballot’s share from the fund lets you vote to pay your shares of the costs for your favorite items.  Voting is easy: simply rank your choices, like in IRV.\*  Your ballot pays one share for each of its present top ranks—as many as it can afford. A tally of all ballots drops the item with the fewest shares. Those two steps repeat until each remaining item gets full funding.3  Paying one share proves you feel the item is worth  its cost and you can afford it in your high priorities. Some Merits of Fair Share Voting, FSV **Each winner is a popular priority worth its cost**:To qualify for funding from our group’s source, an  item needs our “base number” of voters or more.  **FSV is fair** to an item of any cost and to its voters:  A ballot pays a costly share to vote for a costly item.  cost **/** base=1 share *e.g.* $100/25 ballots = $4  If more ballots divide a cost, each of them pays less.  So, a ballot's money can help more low-cost items.  This motivates a voter to give his top ranks to the  items he feels give **the** **most joy per dollar**.  See Ranked Choice Voting points 1 and 3 on page 14.  24 \*Pages 33 and 46 show ballots | More Merits of Fair Share Voting  After discussion, a **quick** poll can pick many items. It reduces **agenda effects** such as leaving no money for the last items or going into debt for them.  It lets subgroups fund items; so it’s like federalism without new layers of laws, taxes and bureaucracy.  And it funds a big group even if they are scattered.  Each big group controls only its share of the money.  This reduces their means and motives for **fighting**.  It makes (hidden) empires less profitable.  **Fairness** **builds** **trust** in spending by subgroups & raises support for more. This can reduce spending at the extremes of individual and central control.  ***N €w* *N ¥w***  ***New Tool***  ***N ₤w* *N $w*** Merits of FSV for an Elected Council FSV gives some power to reps in the opposition, so Electing one is more **effective**, less of a wasted vote.  They ease starvation budgets that damage projects. This makes project management more efficient.  A voter can see grants from his rep to each project, tax cut, or debt cut; then hold her **accountable**.  In games, we vote for treats and eat the winners!  [**AccurateDemocracy.com/eBook.pdf**](https://accuratedemocracy.com/eBook.pdf) |